(CF100

(3)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION No. 2532 OF 2004

PETITIONER

Bhojram Miri, aged about 31 years, S/o Anand Ram Miri, working as Panchayat Karmi, Gram Panchayat Jeora, Tehsil Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Panchayat, D. K. S. Building, Mantralaya,Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Collector, Panchayat Branch, Raigarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
3. Deputy Director, Panchayat & Social Welfare, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
4. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)
5. Gram Panchayat, Jeora through its Sarpanch, village Jeora, Tehsil Sarangarh, District Raigarh (Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 2562/04
Presented by Shri B.P. Gupta
12-8-04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1 PARTIC

(25)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 2532/2004 **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	19.1.2005 Shri H.S.Patel, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Government Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1 to 4 on advance copy. Heard on the question of admission. The petitioner has challenged the validity of order dated 7.7.2004 (Annexure P-1) passed by the respondent No. 3, which is in fact a direction for filling-up the post of Panchayat Karmi, lying vacant on account of removal of the petitioner. The contents of the order shows that the direction has been given for a fresh appointment after complying the scheme, issued for the same. It is also mentioned vide para 5.2 of the petition that the petitioner's appointment as a Secretary of Gram Panchayat, Jeora has been cancelled vide order dated 17.2.2003, filed as Annexure P-2. It has also been stated that the said cancellation order, Annexure P-2 is under challenge before this Court in writ petition No. 798/2003 in which the notices have been issued to the respondents. In the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that no fresh cause of action arises in favour of the petitioner to file a writ petition for quashing the order dated 7.7.2004, Annexure P-1 because the same is a consequence of the main order passed vide	

Gr. PRJ—FS/350—12/2000—50,000.

(26)

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश — 2 —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	Annexure P-2 regarding removal of the petitioner for which a writ petition is already pending before this Court. The petition has no merit, the same is liable to be dismissed and it is dismissed. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	